arXiv:hep-ph/9812313v1 10 Dec 1998

IJS-TP-98/25
NUHEP-TH-98-22
CPT-S695.1298

The penguin operators in nonresonant $B^- \to M\bar{M}\pi^-$ $(M = \pi^-, K^-, K^0)$ decays

S. Fajfer[a,b], **R. J. Oakes**[c] **and T.N. Pham**[d]

a) J. Stefan Institute, Jamova 39, P. O. Box 3000, 1001 Ljubljana, Slovenia

b) Department of Physics, University of Ljubljana, Jadranska 19, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia

c) Department of Physics and Astronomy, Northwestern University, Evanston, Il 60208, U.S.A.

d) Centre de Physique Theorique, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, UMR 7644, Ecole Polytechnique, 91128 Palaiseau Cedex, France

ABSTRACT

We investigate the contributions coming from the penguin operators in the nonresonant $B^- \to M\bar{M}\pi^-$ $(M = \pi^-, K^-, K^0)$ decays. The effective Wilson coefficients of the the strong penguin operators $O_{4,6}$ are found to be relatively larger. We calculate the contributions arising from the O_4 and O_6 operators in the nonresonant decays $B^- \to M\bar{M}\pi^-$ $(M = \pi^-, K^-, \bar{K}^0)$ using a model combining heavy quark symmetry and the chiral symmetry, developed previously. We find that the forbidden nonresonant $B^- \to K^0\bar{K}^0\pi^-$ decay occurs through the strong penguin operators. These penguin contributions affect the branching ratios for $B^- \to M\bar{M}\pi^-$ $(M = \pi^-, K^-)$ by only a few percent. The branching ratio for $B^- \to K^0\bar{K}^0\pi^-$ is estimated to be of the order 10^{-6}.

There is considerable interest in understanding the decay mechanism of the nonleptonic charmless three body decays of B mesons [1, 2, 3, 4]. The importance of penguin operators in three body decays of charged B mesons has recently been questioned [1]. In the analysis of the Dalitz plot for $B^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^-$ the authors of [1] have assumed that the nonresonant decay amplitude is flat, having no dependence on the Dalitz variables. They also assumed that the contributions of the penguin operators can amount to as much as 20% of the dominant decay amplitude. Others have made predictions for the branching ratios of decays [2, 3, 4] motivated in part by the CLEO limits on some of the nonresonant decays of the type $B^+ \to h^+h^+h^-$ [6]. CLEO found the upper limits on the branching ratios $\mathrm{BR}(B^+ \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+) \leq 4.1 \times 10^{-5}$ and $\mathrm{BR}(B^+ \to K^+K^-\pi^+) \leq 7.5 \times 10^{-5}$. In addition there is hope that the CP violating phase γ can be measured from the asymmetry in charged B meson charmless three body decays [2, 4, 5].

Motivated by the need to understand whether the nonresonant decay amplitudes for $B^- \to M\bar{M}\pi^-$ $(M = \pi^+, K^+)$ involve significant effects due to the penguin operators we have investigated the contributions coming from the penguin operators [7] - [12] in these nonresonant decay amplitudes. The decay $B^- \to K^0\bar{K}^0\pi^-$ is CKM forbidden [13, 14]. However, we found that $B^- \to K^0\bar{K}^0\pi^-$ occurs through penguin operators. A measurements of this rate would allow one to extract the product of the CKM matrix elements $V_{tb}V_{td}^*$.

In our analysis we will use of the factorization approximation in which the main contribution to the nonresonant $B^- \to M\bar{M}\pi^-$ amplitudes come from either the product $< M\bar{M}|(\bar{u}b)_{V-A}|B^- > < \pi^-|(\bar{d}u)_{V-A}|0 >$ or $< \pi^-\bar{M}|(\bar{d}b)_{V-A}|B^- > < M|(\bar{u}u)_{V-A}|0 >$, where $(\bar{q}_1q_2)_{V-A}$ denotes $\bar{q}_1\gamma_\mu(1-\gamma_5)q_2$. For the calculation of the matrix element $< M\bar{M}|(\bar{u}b)_{V-A}|B^- >$ we extend the results obtained in [15], where the nonresonant $D^+ \to K^-\pi^+l\nu$ decay was analyzed. The experimental result for the branching ratio of the nonresonant $D^+ \to K^-\pi^+l\nu$ decay was successfully reproduced within a hybrid framework [15] which combines the heavy quark effective theory (HQET) and the chiral Lagrangian (CHPT) approach. The combination of heavy quark symmetry and chiral symmetry has also been quite successful in other analyses of D meson semileptonic decays [16] - [22].

Heavy quark symmetry is expected to be even better for the heavier B mesons [19, 20]. However, CHPT might be less reliable in B decays due to the large energies of light mesons in the final state. It is really only known that the combination of HQET and CHPT is valid at small recoil momentum. To take into account the larger recoil energies of the light mesons in our previous work [15, 21], we modified the hybrid model of [16] - [20] to describe the semileptonic decays of D mesons into one light vector or pseudoscalar meson. Our modification is quite straightforward: we retain the usual HQET Feynman rules for the *vertices* near and outside the zero-recoil region, *but we include the complete propagators instead of using the usual HQET propagator.* This quite reasonable modification of the hybrid HQET and CHPT model enabled us to use it successfully over the entire kinematic region of the D meson weak decays [15, 21, 22].

In the following we systematically use this model to calculate the contributions of the penguin operators to the nonresonant $B^- \to M\bar{M}\pi^-$ ($M = \pi^-, K^-, K^0$) decay amplitudes. We first analyze the contributions coming from the $O_{4,6}$ penguin operators [8, 12], since their effective Wilson coefficients are the largest. We then determine the dependence on the Dalitz plot variables. The operator O_4, as defined in [8, 12], has the same dependence on the Dalitz plot variables as the tree-level operator O_1, while O_6 exhibits different energy dependence. Finally, we discuss the influence of these operators on the branching ratios for $B^- \to M\bar{M}\pi^-$ ($M = \pi^+, K^+$) and estimate the branching rate for $B^- \to K^0\bar{K}^0\pi^-$.

The effective weak Hamiltonian for the nonleptonic Cabibbo-suppressed B meson decays is given by [8, 9, 10, 12]

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_{eff} &= \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}}[V_{ud}^* V_{ub}(c_1 O_{1u} + c_2 O_{2u}) + V_{cd}^* V_{cb}(c_1 O_{1c} + c_2 O_{2c})] \\ &- \sum_{i=3}^{10}([V_{ub}V_{ud}^* c_i^u + V_{cb}V_{cd}^* c_i^c + V_{tb}V_{td}^* c_i^t)O_i] + h.c. \qquad (1)\end{aligned}$$

where the superscripts u, c, t denote the internal quark. The operators O_i are defined in [8, 10, 12]. We rewrite $O_3 - O_6$, using the Fierz transformations, as follows:

$$O_3 = \sum_{q=u,d,s,c,b} \bar{d}\gamma_\mu(1-\gamma_5)b\bar{q}\gamma^\mu(1-\gamma_5)q, \qquad (2)$$

$$O_4 = \sum_{q=u,d,s,c,b} \bar{d}\gamma_\mu(1-\gamma_5)q\bar{q}\gamma^\mu(1-\gamma_5)b, \qquad (3)$$

$$O_5 = \sum_{q=u,d,s,c,b} \bar{d}\gamma_\mu(1-\gamma_5)b\bar{q}\gamma^\mu(1+\gamma_5)q, \qquad (4)$$

$$O_6 = -2 \sum_{q=u,d,s,c,b} \bar{d}(1-\gamma_5)q\bar{q}(1+\gamma_5)b, \tag{5}$$

We consider two possibilities for the Wolfenstein parameters ρ and η: Case I, the effective Wilson coefficients a_i^{eff} determined in [8] for $b \to d$ transitions with $N_c = 3$, and $\rho = 0.12$ and $\eta = 0.34$:

$$a_4^{eff} = -0.0412 - 0.0036i \tag{6}$$

and

$$a_6^{eff} = -0.0548 - 0.0036i. \tag{7}$$

Case II, the effective Wilson coefficients a_i^{eff} determined in [9] for $b \to d$ transitions with $N_c = 3$ and $\rho = 0.05$ and $\eta = 0.45$:

$$a_4^{eff} = -0.048 - 0.007i \tag{8}$$

and

$$a_6^{eff} = -0.060 - 0.007i. \tag{9}$$

The effective coefficients a_3^{eff} and a_5^{eff} are one order of magnitude smaller [8, 9] than these two and therefore we can safely neglect the contributions arising from $O_{3,5}$ operators.

The quark currents required in the weak Hamiltonian (1) can be expressed in terms of the meson fields, as previously described explicitly in [4, 15, 21]. The operator O_6 can be rewritten as the product of the density operators. For the $\bar{d}(1-\gamma_5)q$ scalar and pseudoscalar quark density operator we use the CHPT result [23]. The explicit chiral symmetry breaking, to lowest order in the chiral expansion, is obtained by adding the quark mass term [23]

$$\mathcal{L}_s = \frac{f_\pi^2}{4}\{\mathrm{tr}\,\mathcal{B}(MU^\dagger + UM^\dagger)\}, \tag{10}$$

where $M = \mathrm{diag}(m_u, m_d, m_s)$ and $\mathcal{B}$ is a real constant that can be expressed in terms of quark and meson masses; e.g., to lowest order $m_{K^0}^2 = \mathcal{B}(m_s + m_d)$ and $U = exp(i2\Pi/f)$ where Π is a pseudoscalar meson matrix. Using (10) one can easily bosonize the density operators:

$$\bar{q}_i(1-\gamma_5)q_j = -\frac{f_\pi^2}{2}\mathcal{B}U_{ji} \tag{11}$$

For the calculation of the density operator $\bar{q}(1+\gamma_5)b$ we use the relations [9]

$$\bar{q}\gamma_5 b = \frac{-i}{m_b}\partial_\alpha(\bar{q}\gamma^\alpha\gamma_5 b), \tag{12}$$

and

$$\bar{q}b = \frac{i}{m_b}\partial_\alpha(\bar{q}\gamma^\alpha b), \tag{13}$$

where m_q has been dropped since $m_q << m_b$.

The evaluation of the matrix elements $< M|\bar{q}(1+\gamma_5)b|B >$ and $< MM|\bar{q}(1+\gamma_5)b|B >$ can then be reduced to the evaluation of the matrix elements of the weak currents $< MM|\bar{q}\gamma_\mu\gamma_5 b|B >$ and $< M|\bar{q}\gamma_\mu b|B >$. Assuming factorization, we evaluate the matrix elements of the operator O_6:

$$\begin{aligned} < MMM|O_6|B > &= -2\sum_{u,d,s,c,b}\{< M|\bar{d}(1-\gamma_5)q|0 >< MM|\bar{q}(1+\gamma_5)b|B > \\ &+ < MM|\bar{d}(1-\gamma_5)q|0 >< M|\bar{q}(1+\gamma_5)b|B > \\ &+ < MMM|\bar{d}(1-\gamma_5)q|0 >< 0|\bar{q}(1+\gamma_5)b|B >\}. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

The matrix elements $< M|\bar{d}(1-\gamma_5)q|0 >$, $< MM|\bar{d}(1-\gamma_5)q|0 >$, and $< MMM|\bar{d}(1-\gamma_5)q|0 >$ are easily calculated using (11). For the calculation of the matrix elements $< M|\bar{q}\gamma_\mu b|B >$ and $< MM|\bar{q}\gamma_\mu\gamma_5 b|B >$ we generalize the results obtained in the analysis of D meson semileptonic decays described in detail in [15] and [21]. The matrix element $< M|\bar{q}\gamma_\mu(1-\gamma_5)b|B >$ is given by [21, 22]

$$\begin{aligned} < M(p')|\bar{q}\gamma_\mu(1-\gamma_5)b|B(p_B) > &= [(p_B+p')_\mu - \frac{m_H^2-m_M^2}{q^2}q_\mu]F_1(q^2) \\ &+ \frac{m_H^2-m_M^2}{q^2}q_\mu F_0(q^2), \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where $q = p_B - p'$ and $F_1(0) = F_0(0)$. The form factors are found to be [21, 22]

$$F_1(q^2) = -\frac{f_B}{2} + g f_{B'*}\frac{m_{B'*}^{3/2}m_B^{1/2}}{q^2-m_{B'*}^2}, \tag{16}$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_0(q^2) &= -\frac{f_B}{2} - g f_{B'*}\sqrt{\frac{m_B}{m_{B'*}}} \\
&+ \frac{q^2}{m_B^2 - m_M^2}[-\frac{f_B}{2} + g f_{B'*}\sqrt{\frac{m_{B'*}}{m_B}}], \qquad (17)
\end{aligned}
$$

where B'^* denotes the relevant vector meson pole and g is the B^*BM coupling constant.

To evaluate the matrix element $< M_1(p_1)M_2(p_2)|(\bar{q}_i b)_{V-A}|B^-(p_B) >$ we will also use and generalize the results obtained previously in the analysis of the nonresonant $D^+ \to \pi^+ K^- l\nu_l$ decay width [15]. We write the matrix element $< M_1(p_1)M_2(p_2)|(\bar{u}b)_{V-A}|B^-(p_B) >$ in the general form

$$
\begin{aligned}
&< M_1(p_1)M_2(p_2)|\bar{u}\gamma_\mu(1-\gamma_5)b|B^-(p_B) > = ir(p_B - p_2 - p_1)_\mu \\
&\quad +iw_+(p_2+p_1)_\mu + iw_-(p_2-p_1)_\mu - 2h\epsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma}p_B^\alpha p_2^\beta p_1^\gamma \ . \qquad (18)
\end{aligned}
$$

The form factors $w_\pm^{nr}$ for the nonresonant decay are given in [4, 15]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_+^{nr}(p_1,p_2) &= -\frac{g}{f_1 f_2}\frac{f_{B*}m_{B*}^{3/2}m_B^{1/2}}{(p_B-p_1)^2 - m_{B*}^2}[1 - \frac{p_1\cdot(p_B-p_1)}{2m_{B*}^2}] \\
&+ \frac{f_B}{2f_1f_2} - \frac{\sqrt{m}_B\alpha_2}{2f_1f_2}\frac{1}{m_B^2}p_B\cdot(p_2-p_1), \qquad (19)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_-^{nr}(p_1,p_2) &= \frac{g}{f_1 f_2}\frac{f_{B*}m_{B*}^{3/2}m_B^{1/2}}{(p_B-p_1)^2 - m_{B*}^2}[1 + \frac{p_1\cdot(p_B-p_1)}{2m_{B*}^2}] \\
&+ \frac{\sqrt{m}_B\alpha_1}{f_1f_2}. \qquad (20)
\end{aligned}
$$

The parameters $\alpha_{1,2}$ are defined in [21]. Note that both the α_1 and α_2 terms are important in (19) and (20), which was previously overlooked [2]. Within this same framework [15, 21] we evaluate r^{nr}

$$
\begin{aligned}
r^{nr}(p_1,p_2) &= -\frac{1+\tilde{\beta}}{f_1f_2}p_B\cdot(p_2-p_1)\sqrt{\frac{m_{B'}}{m_B}}\frac{f_{B'}}{(p_B-p_1-p_2)^2 - m_{B'}^2} \\
&- \sqrt{\frac{m_B}{m_B}}\frac{4g^2 f_{B''}m_{B'}^* m_{B'}}{f_1f_2}\frac{1}{(p_B-p_1-p_2)^2 - m_{B''}^2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\times \quad \frac{[p_1\cdot p_2 - \frac{1}{m^2_{B*'}}p_2\cdot(p_B-p_1)p_1\cdot(p_B-p_1)]}{(p_B-p_1)^2-m^2_{B'*}} \\
&+ \quad \frac{2g}{f_1 f_2}\frac{f_{B'*}m_{B'*}}{(p_B-p_1)^2-m^2_{B'*}}\frac{p_1\cdot(p_B-p_1)}{m^2_{B*'}} \\
&+ \quad \frac{f_B}{2f_1f_2}+\frac{\alpha_2\sqrt{m}_B}{2m_B^2}p_B\cdot(p_2-p_1) \qquad (21)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here B', $B^{'*}$, B'' denote the relevant B meson poles, and $f_{1,2}$ denotes the pseudoscalar meson decay constants. The coupling $\tilde{\beta}$ has been analyzed in [22] and found to be close to zero and therefore will be neglected.

The matrix element of the operator O_4 can be evaluated straightforwardly using factorization:

$$
\begin{aligned}
<\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-|O_4|B^->_{nr} \;=\; & <\pi^+\pi^-|\bar{u}\gamma_\mu(1-\gamma_5)b|B^->_{nr} \\
& <\pi^-|\bar{d}\gamma^\mu(1-\gamma_5)u|0>, \qquad (22)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the corresponding expression for the $B^-\to K^-K^+\pi^-$ matrix elements can simply be obtained by the replacement π^+ and π^- by K^+ and K^- respectively. Note that the matrix element $<MM|\bar{q}_1\gamma_\mu(1-\gamma_5)q_2|0>$ is dominated by resonant contributions. Using the variables $s=(p_B-p_3)^2=(p_2+p_1)^2$, $t=(p_B-p_1)^2=(p_2+p_3)^2$ $u=(p_B-p_2)^2=(p_1+p_3)^2$ and the pseudoscalar meson decay constants f_i, we can then write the nonresonant decay matrix element of O_4 as

$$
\begin{aligned}
<M_1(p_1)M_2(p_2)\pi^-(p_3)|O_4|B^-(p_B)>_{nr} \;=\; & [f_\pi m_\pi^2 r^{nr}(s,t)+\frac{f_\pi}{2}(m_B^2 \\
-s-m_\pi^2)w_+^{nr}(s,t)+\frac{f_\pi}{2}(2t \;+\; & s-m_B^2-m_1^2-m_2^2-m_\pi^2)w_-^{nr}(t)] \\
& \qquad (23)
\end{aligned}
$$

where M_1, M_2 represent either π^+, π^- or K^+, K^-.

Using factorization the matrix elements of O_6 can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
<\pi^+(p_1)\pi^-(p_2)\pi^-(p_3)|O_6|B^-(p_B)>_{nr} \;&= \\
-2\{<\pi^+(p_1)\pi^-(p_2)|\bar{u}\gamma_\mu b|B^-(p_B)> \;&\times \\
\frac{p_3^\mu}{m_B}<\pi^-(p_3)|\bar{d}(1-\gamma_5)u|0> \;&+
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\begin{aligned} < \pi^+(p_1)\pi^-(p_2)\pi^-(p_3)|\bar{d}(1-\gamma_5)u|0 > \quad & \times \\ < 0|\bar{u}\gamma_\mu b|B^-(p_B) > \frac{p_B^\mu}{m_B} \quad & + \\ < \pi^+(p_1)\pi^-(p_2)|\bar{d}(1-\gamma_5)u|0 >< \pi^-(p_3)|\bar{u}\gamma_\mu b|B^-(p_B) > \quad & \times \\ \frac{p_B^\mu - p_3^\mu}{m_B} + (p_1 \leftrightarrow p_3)\}, & \qquad (24) \end{aligned}$$

where we have assumed $m_b \simeq m_B$. The corresponding result for $B^- \to \pi^- K^+ K^-$ can be straightforwardly obtained simply by replacing $\pi^+\pi^-$ by K^+K^-. Using the expressions for the matrix elements of the current and the density operators we find

$$\begin{aligned} < M_1(p_1)M_2(p_2)\pi^-(p_3)|O_6|B(p_B) >_{nr} \quad = \quad -f_3\frac{\mathcal{B}}{m_B} & \\ \{\frac{1}{2}[m_3^2 r^{nr} + (m_B^2 - m_3^2 - s) + w_+^{nr}(2t + s - m_B^2 - m_1^2 - m_2^2 \quad - \quad m_3^2)w_-^{nr}] & \\ +[(m_B^2 - m_3^2)F_0(s)] - \frac{4}{3}\frac{f_3 f_B}{f_1 f_2}m_B\}. & \qquad (25) \end{aligned}$$

For the $B^- \to \pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$ decay there is an additional term with the replacement $s \leftrightarrow t$, since there are two identical pions in the final state in this case.

The nonresonant amplitudes for the $B^- \to M\bar{M}\pi^-$ ($M = \pi^-, K^-$) decays can be written in terms of the following matrix elements

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{nr}(B^- \to M\bar{M}\pi^-) \quad = \quad \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}}\{V_{ub}V_{ud}^* a_1^{eff} < M\bar{M}\pi^-|O_1|B^- > & \\ +V_{tb}V_{td}^*(a_4^{eff} < M\bar{M}\pi^-|O_4|B^- > \quad + \quad a_6^{eff} < M\bar{M}\pi^-|O_6|B^- >)\}. & \qquad (26) \end{aligned}$$

The matrix element $< M\bar{M}\pi^-|O_1|B^- >$ ($M = \pi^-, K^-$) was analyzed in [4].

Contrary to the CKM-allowed cases in which the main contribution comes from the operator O_1, we notice that the CKM-forbidden decay $B^- \to K^0\bar{K}^0\pi^-$ occurs through the contributions of the penguin operators O_4 and O_6. The nonresonant matrix elements are

$$\begin{aligned} < K^0(p_1)\pi^-(p_1)\bar{K}^0(p_2)\pi^-(p_3)|O_4|B^-(p_B) >_{nr} \quad = \quad \frac{f_K}{2}[m_K^2 r^{nr}(s,t) + (m_B^2 & \\ -t - m_K^2)w_+^{nr}(s,t) + (2t + s - m_B^2 - 2m_K^2 \quad - \quad m_\pi^2)w_-^{nr}(s,t)] & \qquad (27) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
< K^0(p_1)\bar{K}^0(p_2)\pi^-(p_3)|O_6|B^-(p_B) >_{nr} &= -\mathcal{B}\frac{f_K}{m_B}\{r^{nr}(s,t)m_K^2 \\
w_+^{nr}(s,t)(m_B^2 - t - m_K^2) + w_-^{nr}(s,t)(2t + s &- m_B^2 - 2m_K^2 - m_\pi^2) \\
+[(m_B^2 - m_\pi^2)F_0(s)] &- \frac{4}{3}\frac{f_\pi f_B}{f_K^2}m_B\} \qquad (28)
\end{aligned}$$

The nonresonant amplitude for the $B^-(p_B) \to K^0(p_1)\bar{K}^0(p_2)\pi^-$ decay can be written in terms of these matrix elements (27) − (28):

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_{nr}(B^- \to K^0\bar{K}^0\pi^-) &= \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}}V_{tb}V_{td}^* \\
\{a_4^{eff} < K^0\bar{K}^0\pi^-|O_4|B^- > &+ a_6^{eff} < K^0\bar{K}^0\pi^-|O_6|B^- >\}. \qquad (29)
\end{aligned}$$

The partial widths for the nonresonant decay $B^- \to M\bar{M}\pi^-$ ($M = \pi^-, K^-, K^0$) is given by

$$\Gamma_{nr}(B^- \to M\bar{M}\pi^-) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{1}{32m_B^3}\int |\mathcal{M}_{nr}|^2 \, ds \, dt. \qquad (30)$$

In the numerical calculation of the branching ratios we follow the discussion of the input parameters given in [4]. From heavy quark symmetry we have used $f_B/f_D = \sqrt{m_D/m_B}$ with the reasonable choice $f_D \simeq 200$ MeV [22, 26]. The B decay constant is then $f_B \simeq 128$ MeV. In [4] we found that the parameters $\alpha_1^{B\rho} = -0.13$ GeV$^{1/2}$ and $\alpha_2^{B\rho} = -0.36$ GeV$^{1/2}$ lead to the branching ratio BR($B^- \to \pi^-\pi^+\pi^+$) being smaller than the experimental upper limit [6] and we rejected this possibility. Here we also use the values of $\alpha_{1,2}$ as in [4]. And, as discussed in [4], here we also consider the range $0.2 \leq g \leq 0.23$.

In our numerical calculations we considered both cases I and II: the effective coefficients $a_{4,6}^{eff}$ given in (6), (7) from [8] (case I), and $a_{4,6}^{eff}$ given in (8), (9) from [9] (case II). Then for the CKM matrix we must use the corresponding input parameters in the Wolfenstein parametrization of the CKM matrix ($V_{ub} = A\lambda^3(\rho - i\eta)$, $V_{ud} = 1 - \lambda^2/2$, $V_{td} = A\lambda^3(1 - \rho - i\eta)$, $V_{tb} = 1$). The numerical value of $\mathcal{B}$ can be determined from $\mathcal{B} = (2m_K^2 - m_\pi^2)/2m_s$. Taking $m_s(\mu = 5 \text{ GeV}) = 150$ MeV, the same value used in [8] for the extraction of the effective Wilson coefficients, we find $\mathcal{B} = 1.6$ GeV. Inspection of the contributions coming from the $O_{4,6}$ operators shows some cancellations occur among the combinations $a_4^{eff}O_4$ and $a_6^{eff}O_6$. One also can explicitly see the dependence on the Dalitz variables.

In Table I we present the penguin contributions of the operators $O_{4,6}$ to branching ratios for the $B^- \to \pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$ and $B^- \to K^-K^+\pi^-$ together with the dominant tree level contribution of the operator O_1. Both numerical results I and II as well as the range of g, as discussed above are presented.

It is clearly evident from these quantitative numerical results that the uncertainties coming from the input parameters give much larger uncertainties in the branching ratios than the contributions of the penguin operators. Interestingly the penguin contributions, while small, are less sensitive to the input parameters than the dominant tree level contributions, which is quite sensitive to these input parameters. Since the amplitudes for the $B^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+$ and $B^- \to K^+K^-\pi^+$ decays receive rather small corrections from the penguin operators we do not expect significant changes in the CP violating asymmetry, which we have discussed in [4].

We also calculated the branching ratio for the forbidden nonresonant decay $B^- \to K^0\bar{K}^0\pi^-$ finding

$$8.4 \times 10^{-7} \leq \mathrm{BR}(B^- \to K^0\bar{K}^0\pi^-) \leq 8.7 \times 10^{-7}, \tag{31}$$

for the Case I (see (6) and (7)) and the range $0.2 \leq g \leq 0.23$. For the Case II (see (8) and (9)) we found

$$1.4 \times 10^{-6} \leq \mathrm{BR}(B^- \to K^0\bar{K}^0\pi^-) \leq 1.5 \times 10^{-6}, \tag{32}$$

for the range $0.2 \leq g \leq 0.23$. Measurement of this branching ratio is important as it provides information about the effective Wilson coefficients $a_{4,6}^{eff}$. It is interesting to note that in the factorization approximation, as mentioned earlier, this decay is entirely induced by the penguin interactions. Final state interactions (FSI) effects, could alter this, however we believe this is unlikely as data on color-suppressed decays indicate that the branching ratio for B^0 to D^0 and a neutral light hadron is indeed suppressed. Thus we expect FSI would contribute at most a branching ratio for $K^0\bar{K}^0$ mode of the same order as the penguin terms. This could be checked in future measurements of this decay rate.

To summarize, we have quantitatively analyzed the penguin contributions to the nonresonant $B^- \to M\bar{M}\pi^-$ decay amplitudes ($M = \pi^-, K^-, K^0$), including the dependence on the Dalitz variables. We calculated the branching ratios for $B^- \to M\bar{M}\pi^-$ decays $(M = \pi^-, K^-)$ including the penguin contributions and found that they can possibly change the branching ratio as much as 15%. However, while the penguin contributions are small and not very sensitive to the uncertainties in the input parameters, the corresponding uncertainties in the dominant tree level contributions are considerably larger than the penguin contributions. We also found that the branching ratio for the CKM forbidden nonresonant decay $B^- \to K^0\bar{K}^0\pi^-$ is of the order 10^{-6} and is entirely induced by penguin effects.

This work was supported in part by the Ministry of Science and Technology of the Republic of Slovenia (S.F), and by the U.S. Department of Energy, Division of High Energy Physics under grant No. DE-FG02-91-ER4086 (R.J.O.). S.F. thanks the Department of Physics and Astronomy at Northwestern University for warm hospitality during her stay there where part of this work has been done.

ρ	η	g	BR($B^- \to \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$)	BR($B^- \to K^+ K^- \pi^-$)
0.12	0.34	0.2	$(3.1 - 0.4) \times 10^{-5}$	$(5.3 - 0.1) \times 10^{-5}$
0.12	0.34	0.23	$(3.5 - 0.5) \times 10^{-5}$	$(5.8. - 0.1) \times 10^{-5}$
0.05	0.45	0.2	$(4.9 - 0.4) \times 10^{-5}$	$(8.7 - 0.1) \times 10^{-5}$
0.05	0.45	0.23	$(5.5 - 0.4) \times 10^{-5}$	$(9.0 - 0.03) \times 10^{-5}$

Table 1: The branching ratios for $B^- \to M\bar{M}\pi^-$, $(M = \pi^-, K^-)$ for two cases of ρ and η parameters determined in [8] and [9], described in the text, respectively. The first number in the brackets is the main contribution coming from the operator O_1 and the second number is the contribution to the branching ratio coming from the operators $O_{4,6}$.

References

[1] I. Bediaga, R. E. Blanco, C. Göbel, and R. Mendez-Galain, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 4056 (1998).

[2] N. G. Deshpande, G. Eilam, X. G. He, and J. Trampetić, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 5354 (1995).

[3] R. Enomoto, Y. Okada, and Y. Shimizu, Phys. Lett. B **433**, 109 (1998).

[4] B. Bajc, S. Fajfer, R. J. Oakes, T. N. Pham, and S. Prelovšek, hep-ph/9809262.

[5] G. Eilam, M. Gronau and R. R. Mendel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 4984 (1995).

[6] T. Bergfeld et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 4503 (1996).

[7] A. Ali, G. Kramer, and Dai-Dian Lü, hep-ph/9805403.

[8] A. Ali, G. Kramer, and Dai-Dian Lü, Phys. Rev. D **58**, 094009 (1998).

[9] A. Ali, C. Greub, Phys. Rev. D **57**, 2996 (1998).

[10] G. Buchalla, A. J. Buras, and M. E. Lautenbacher, Rev. Mod. Phys. **68**, 1125 (1996).

[11] R. Fleisher, Talk given at International Euroconference QCD 98, Montpellier, France, 2-8 July 1998, hep-ph/9808238.

[12] T. E. Browder, A. Datta, X.-G. He, and S. Pakvasa, Phys. Rev. D **57**, 6829 (1998).

[13] Y. Nir and H. R. Quinn, *B decays* Edited by S. Stone, World Scientific Publishing (1992), 362.

[14] Y. Nir, *18th International Symposium on Lepton Photon Interactions*, Hamburg, Germany, July 28 - August 1 1997, Edited by A. De Roeck and A. Wagner, World Scientific Publishing (1998), 293.

[15] B. Bajc, S. Fajfer, R. J. Oakes, and T. N. Pham, Phys. Rev. D **58**, 054009 (1998).

[16] R. Casalbuoni, A. Deandrea, N. Di Bartolomeo, R. Gatto, F. Feruglio, and G. Nardulli, Phys. Lett. B **292**, 371 (1992).

[17] R. Casalbuoni, A. Deandrea, N. Di Bartolomeo, R. Gatto, F. Feruglio, and G. Nardulli, Phys. Lett. B **299**, 139 (1993).

[18] A. Deandra, N. Di Bartolomeo, R. Gatto and G. Nardulli, Phys. Lett. B **318**, 549 (1993).

[19] R. Casalbuoni, A. Deandrea, N. Di Bartolomeo, R. Gatto, F. Feruglio, and G. Nardulli, Phys. Rep. **281**, 145 (1997).

[20] M. B. Wise, Phys. Rev. D **45**, 2188 (1992).

[21] B. Bajc, S. Fajfer, and R. J. Oakes, Phys. Rev. D **53**, 4957 (1996).

[22] B. Bajc, S. Fajfer, R. J. Oakes, and S. Prelovšek, Phys. Rev. D **56**, 7027 (1997).

[23] J. Gasser, H. Leutwyler, Ann. Phys. **158**, (1984) 142, Nucl. Phys. B **250**, 465 (1985).

[24] Review of Particle Physics, Eur. Phys. Jour. C **3**, 1 (1998).

[25] N. Isgur and M. B. Wise, Phys. Rev. D **42**, 2388 (1990).

[26] G. Martinelli, Nucl. Inst. Meth. Phys. Res. A **384**, 241 (1996).

[27] L. Wolfenstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. **51**, 1945 (1996).

[28] A. Ali, D. London, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) **54 A**, 297 (1997).